arXiv:1403.2588v1 [math.AP] 11 Mar 2014

A NOTE ON HIGHER REGULARITY BOUNDARY HARNACK INEQUALITY

D. DE SILVA AND O. SAVIN

Abstract. We show that the quotient of two positive harmonic functions vanishing on the boundary of a $C^{k,\alpha}$ domain is of class $C^{k,\alpha}$ up to the boundary.

1. Introduction

In this note we obtain a higher order boundary Harnack inequality for harmonic functions, and more generally, for solutions to linear elliptic equations.

Let Ω be a $C^{k,\alpha}$ domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $k \geq 1$. Assume for simplicity that

$$\Omega := \{(x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n > g(x')\}$$

with

$$g : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad g \in C^{k,\alpha}, \quad \|g\|_{C^{k,\alpha}} \leq 1, \quad g(0) = 0.$$

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $u > 0$ and v be two harmonic functions in $\Omega \cap B_1$ that vanish continuously on $\partial\Omega \cap B_1$. Assume u is normalized so that $u(e_n/2) = 1$, then*

$$\left\| \frac{v}{u} \right\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega \cap B_{1/2})} \leq C \|v\|_{L^\infty}, \tag{1.1}$$

with C depending on n, k, α.

We remark that if $v > 0$, then the right hand side of (1.1) can be replaced by $\frac{v}{u}(e_n/2)$ as in the classic boundary Harnack inequality.

For a more general statement for solutions to linear elliptic equations, we refer the reader to Section 3.

The classical Schauder estimates imply that u, v are of class $C^{k,\alpha}$ up to the boundary. Using that on $\partial\Omega$ we have $u = v = 0$ and $u_\nu > 0$, one can easily conclude that v/u is of class $C^{k-1,\alpha}$ up to the boundary.

Theorem 1.1 states that the quotient of two harmonic functions is in fact one derivative better than the quotient of two arbitrary $C^{k,\alpha}$ functions that vanish on the boundary. To the best of our knowledge the result of Theorem 1.1 is not known in the literature for $k \geq 1$. The case when $k = 0$ is well known as boundary Harnack inequality: the quotient of two positive harmonic functions as above must be C^α up to the boundary if $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz, or the graph of a Hölder function, see [HW, CFMS, JK, HW, F].

A direct application of Theorem 1.1 gives smoothness of $C^{1,\alpha}$ free boundaries in the classical obstacle problem without making use of a hodograph transformation, see [KNS, C].

D. D. and O. S. are supported by the ERC starting grant project 2011 EPSILON. D. D. is supported by NSF grant DMS-1301535. O. S. is supported by NSF grant DMS-1200701.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\partial\Omega \in C^{1,\alpha}$ and let u solve*

$$\Delta u = 1 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0,\ \nabla u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \cap B_1.$$

Assume that u is increasing in the e_n direction. Then $\partial\Omega \in C^\infty$.

The corollary follows by repeateadly applying Theorem 1.1 to the quotient u_i/u_n.

Our motivation for the results of this paper comes from the question of higher regularity in thin free boundary problems, which we recently began investigating in [DS].

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following. Let v be a harmonic function vanishing on $\partial\Omega$. The pointwise $C^{k+1,\alpha}$ estimate at $0 \in \partial\Omega$ is achieved by approximating v with polynomials of the type $x_n P$ with $\deg P = k$. It turns out that we may use the same approximation if we replace x_n by a given positive harmonic function $u \in C^{k,\alpha}$ that vanishes on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, the regularity of $\partial\Omega$ does not play a role since the approximating functions $u\,P$ already vanish on $\partial\Omega$.

In order to fix ideas we treat the case $k = 1$ separately in Section 2, and we deal with the general case in Section 3.

2. The case $k = 1$ – $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates.

In this section, we provide the proof of our main Theorem 1.1 in the case $k = 1$. We also extend the result to more general elliptic operators.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\partial\Omega \in C^{1,\alpha}$. Precisely,

$$\partial\Omega = \{(x', g(x') \mid x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}, \quad g(0) = 0, \quad \nabla_{x'} g(0) = 0, \quad \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \le 1.$$

Let u be a positive harmonic function in $\Omega \cap B_1$, vanishing continuously on $\partial\Omega \cap B_1$. Normalize u so that $u(e_n/2) = 1$. Throughout this section, we refer to positive constants depending only on n, α as universal.

Theorem 2.1. *Let v be a harmonic function in $\Omega \cap B_1$ vanishing continuously on $\partial\Omega \cap B_1$. Then,*

$$\Big\| \frac{v}{u} \Big\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega \cap B_{1/2})} \le C \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap B_1)}$$

with C universal.

First we remark that from the classical Schauder estimates and Hopf lemma, u satisfies

$$u \in C^{1,\alpha}, \quad \|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega \cap B_{1/2})} \le C, \quad u_\nu > c > 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \cap B_{1/2}. \tag{2.1}$$

Thus, after a dilation and multiplication by a constant we may assume that

$$\|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_1)} \le \delta, \quad \nabla u(0) = e_n, \quad [\nabla u]_{C^\alpha} \le \delta, \tag{2.2}$$

where the constant δ will be specified later.

We claim that Theorem 2.1 will follow, if we show that there exists a linear function

$$P(x) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i, \quad a_n = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

such that

$$\Big| \frac{v}{u}(x) - P(x) \Big| \le C|x|^{1+\alpha}, \quad x \in \Omega \cap B_1 \tag{2.4}$$

for C universal.

To obtain (2.4), we prove the next lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that, for some $r \leq 1$ and P as in (2.3) with $|a_i| \leq 1$,*

$$\|v - uP\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)} \leq r^{2+\alpha}.$$

Then, there exists a linear function

$$\bar{P}(x) = \bar{a}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{a}_i x_i, \quad \bar{a}_n = 0$$

such that

$$\|v - u\bar{P}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap B_{\rho r})} \leq (\rho r)^{2+\alpha},$$

for some $\rho > 0$ universal, and

$$\|P - \bar{P}\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} \leq C r^{1+\alpha},$$

with C universal.

Proof. We write

$$v(x) = u(x)P(x) + r^{2+\alpha}\tilde{v}\left(\frac{x}{r}\right), \quad x \in \Omega \cap B_r,$$

with

$$\|\tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1)} \leq 1, \quad \tilde{\Omega} := \frac{1}{r}\Omega.$$

Define also,

$$\tilde{u}(x) := \frac{u(rx)}{r}, \quad x \in \tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1.$$

We have,

$$0 = \Delta v = \Delta(uP) + r^\alpha \Delta \tilde{v}\left(\frac{x}{r}\right), \quad x \in \Omega \cap B_r,$$

and

$$\Delta(uP) = 2\nabla u \cdot \nabla P = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i u_i, \quad x \in \Omega \cap B_r.$$

Moreover, from (2.2) we have

$$\|\nabla u - e_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)} \leq \delta r^\alpha.$$

Thus, $\tilde{v}$ solves

$$|\Delta \tilde{v}| \leq 2\delta \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1, \quad \tilde{v} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1)} \leq 1.$$

Hence, as $\delta \to 0$ (using also (2.2)) $\tilde{v}$ must converge (up to a subsequence) uniformly to a solution v_0 of

$$\Delta v_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1^+, \quad v_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_n = 0\} \cap \bar{B}_1^+$$

and

$$|v_0| \leq 1 \quad \text{in } B_1^+.$$

Such a v_0 satisfies,

$$\|v_0 - x_n Q\|_{L^\infty(B_\rho^+)} \leq C\rho^3 \leq \frac{1}{4}\rho^{2+\alpha},$$

for some ρ universal and $Q = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i, |b_i| \leq C$. Notice that $b_n = 0$ since $x_n Q$ is harmonic.

By compactness, if δ is chosen sufficiently small, then

$$\|\tilde{v} - x_n Q\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}\cap B_\rho)} \le \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2+\alpha}.$$

From (2.2),

$$|\tilde{u} - x_n| \le \delta$$

thus

$$\|\tilde{v} - \tilde{u}Q\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}\cap B_\rho)} \le \rho^{2+\alpha}$$

from which the desired conclusion follows by choosing

$$\bar{P}(x) = P(x) + r^{1+\alpha} Q\left(\frac{x}{r}\right).$$

□

Remark 2.3. Notice that, from boundary Harnack inequality, $\tilde{v}$ satisfies (see (2.5) and recall that $u(\frac{1}{2}e_n) = 1$)

$$|\tilde{v}| \le C\tilde{u} \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}\cap B_{1/2},$$

with C universal. Thus our assumption can be improved in $B_{r/2}$ to

$$|v(x) - uP(x)| \le Cu(x)r^{1+\alpha} \quad \text{in } \Omega\cap B_{r/2}.$$

Moreover,

$$\left[\frac{\tilde{v}}{\tilde{u}}\right]_{C^{1,\alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}\cap B_{1/4}(\frac{1}{2}e_n))} \le C$$

since $\tilde{u}$ is bounded below in such region. This, together with the identity

$$\frac{v}{u} = P + r^{1+\alpha}\frac{\tilde{v}}{\tilde{u}}\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \quad x \in \Omega\cap B_r$$

implies

$$\left[\nabla\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)\right]_{C^\alpha(\Omega\cap B_{r/4}(\frac{r}{2}e_n))} = \left[\frac{\tilde{v}}{\tilde{u}}\right]_{C^{1,\alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}\cap B_{1/4}(\frac{1}{2}e_n))} \le C. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. After multiplying v by a small constant, the assumptions of the lemma are satisfied with $P = 0$ and $r = r_0$ small. Thus, if we choose r_0 small universal, we can apply the lemma indefinitely and obtain a limiting linear function P_0 such that

$$|v - uP_0| \le Cr^{2+\alpha}, \quad r \le r_0.$$

In fact, from Remark 2.3 we obtain

$$\left|\frac{v}{u} - P_0\right| \le C|x|^{1+\alpha}$$

which together with (2.6) gives the desired conclusion. □

It is easy to see that our proof holds in greater generality. For example, if v solves $\Delta v = f \in C^\alpha$ in $\Omega\cap B_1$ and vanishes continuously on $\partial\Omega\cap B_1$, then we get

$$\left\|\frac{v}{u}\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega\cap B_{1/2})} \le C(\|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{C^\alpha}).$$

To obtain this estimate it suffices to take in Lemma 2.2 linear functions $P(x) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$ satisfying $2a_n u_n(0) = f(0)$. In fact, the following more general Theorem holds.

Theorem 2.4. *Let*

$$\mathcal{L}u := Tr(A\,D^2u) + b\cdot\nabla u + c\,u,$$

with $A \in C^\alpha, b, c \in L^\infty$ *and*

$$\lambda I \le A \le \Lambda I, \quad \|A\|_{C^\alpha}, \|b\|_{L^\infty}, \|c\|_{L^\infty} \le \Lambda.$$

Assume

$$\mathcal{L}u = 0,\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega\cap B_1, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \cap B_1$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}v = f \in C^\alpha \quad \text{in } \Omega\cap B_1, \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega\cap B_1.$$

Then, if u *is normalized so that* $u(\frac{1}{2}e_n) = 1$

$$\left\|\frac{v}{u}\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega\cap B_{1/2})} \le C(\|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{C^\alpha})$$

with C *depending on* α, λ, Λ *and* n.

Remark 2.5. We emphasize that the conditions on the matrix A and the right hand side f are those that guarantee interior $C^{2,\alpha}$ Schauder estimates. However the conditions on the domain Ω and the lower order coefficients b, c are those that guarantee interior $C^{1,\alpha}$ Schauder estimates.

Remark 2.6. The theorem holds also for divergence type operators

$$Lu = \operatorname{div}(A\nabla u + bu), \qquad A \in C^\alpha, \quad b \in C^\alpha.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.4 follows the same argument of Theorem 2.1. For convenience of the reader, we give a sketch of the proof.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.4. After a dilation we may assume that (2.2) holds and also

$$A(0) = I, \quad \max\{[A]_{C^\alpha}, \|b\|_{L^\infty}, \|c\|_{L^\infty}, [f]_{C^\alpha}\} \le \delta \tag{2.7}$$

with δ to be chosen later. Again, it suffices to show the analogue of Lemma 2.2 in this context, with the x_n coefficient of P and $\bar{P}$ satisfying

$$2a_n = 2\bar{a}_n = f(0).$$

Define $\tilde{v}$ as before. Then

$$f = \mathcal{L}v = \mathcal{L}(uP) + r^\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{L}}\tilde{v}\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \quad x \in \Omega\cap B_r$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\tilde{v} := Tr(\tilde{A}\,D^2\tilde{v}) + r\tilde{b}\cdot\nabla\tilde{v} + r^2\tilde{c}\,\tilde{v},$$

$$\tilde{A}(x) = A(rx), \quad \tilde{b}(x) = b(rx), \quad \tilde{c}(x) = c(rx), \quad x \in \tilde{\Omega}\cap B_1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{L}(uP) = (\mathcal{L}u)P + 2(\nabla u)^T A\nabla P + u\,b\cdot\nabla P$$

thus, using (2.2)-(2.7) and the fact that $2a_n = f(0)$

$$|\mathcal{L}(uP) - f| \le C\delta r^\alpha, \quad x \in \Omega\cap B_r.$$

From this we conclude that

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\tilde{v}| \le C\delta \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}\cap B_1$$

and we can argue by compactness exactly as before. □

3. The general case, $k \geq 2$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\partial\Omega \in C^{k,\alpha}$. Precisely,

$$\partial\Omega = \{(x', g(x') \mid x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}, \quad g(0) = 0, \quad \nabla_{x'} g(0) = 0, \quad \|g\|_{C^{k,\alpha}} \leq 1.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let*

$$\mathcal{L}u := Tr(AD^2u) + b \cdot \nabla u + cu$$

with

$$\lambda I \leq A \leq \Lambda I,$$

and

$$\max\{\|A\|_{C^{k-1,\alpha}}, \|b\|_{C^{k-2,\alpha}}, \|c\|_{C^{k-2,\alpha}}\} \leq \Lambda.$$

Assume

$$\mathcal{L}u = 0, u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \cap B_1, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \cap B_1 \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}v = f \in C^{k-1,\alpha} \quad \text{in } \Omega \cap B_1, \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \cap B_1. \tag{3.2}$$

Then, if u is normalized so that $u(\frac{1}{2}e_n) = 1$

$$\left\|\frac{v}{u}\right\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega \cap B_{1/2})} \leq C(\|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{C^{k-1,\alpha}})$$

with C depending on $k, \alpha, \lambda, \Lambda$ and n.

From now on, a positive constant depending on $n, k, \alpha, \lambda, \Lambda$ is called universal.

Remark 3.2. If we are interested only in $C^{k,\alpha}$ estimates for $\dfrac{v}{u}$ on $\partial\Omega \cap B_{1/2}$, then the regularity assumption on c can be weakened to $\|c\|_{C^{k-3,\alpha}} \leq \Lambda$.

If u and v solve (3.1)-(3.2) respectively, the rescalings

$$\tilde{u}(x) = \frac{1}{r_0} u(r_0 x), \quad \tilde{v}(x) = \frac{1}{r_0} v(r_0 x)$$

satisfy the same problems with Ω, A, b, c and f replaced by

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{1}{r_0}\Omega, \quad \tilde{A}(x) = A(r_0 x), \quad \tilde{b}(x) = r_0 b(r_0 x),$$
$$\tilde{c}(x) = r_0^2 c(r_0 x), \quad \tilde{f}(x) = r_0 f(r_0 x).$$

Thus, as in the case $k = 1$, we may assume that

$$\nabla u(0) = e_n, \quad A(0) = I$$

and that the following norms are sufficiently small:

$$\max\{\|g\|_{C^{k,\alpha}}, \|A - I\|_{C^{k-1,\alpha}}, \|b\|_{C^{k-2,\alpha}}, \|c\|_{C^{k-2,\alpha}}, \|f\|_{C^{k-1,\alpha}}, \|u - x_n\|_{C^{k,\alpha}}\} \leq \delta, \tag{3.3}$$

with δ to be specified later.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is essentially the same as in the case $k = 1$. However, we now need to work with polynomials of degree k rather than linear functions.

We introduce some notation. A polynomial P of degree k is denoted by

$$P(x) = a_m x^m, \quad m = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n), |m| = m_1 + \ldots + m_n,$$

with the a_m non-zero only if $m \geq 0$ and $|m| \leq k$. We use here the summation convention over repeated indices and the notation

$$x^m = x_1^{m_1} \ldots x_n^{m_n}.$$

Also, in what follows, $\bar{i}$ denotes the multi-index with 1 on the ith position and zeros elsewhere and $\|P\| = \max |a_m|$.

Given u a solution to (3.1), we will approximate a solution v to (3.2) with polynomials P such that $\mathcal{L}(uP)$ and f are tangent at 0 of order $k-1$.

Below we show that the coefficients of such polynomials must satisfy a certain linear system.

Indeed,

$$\mathcal{L}(uP) = (\mathcal{L}u)P + 2(\nabla u)^T A \nabla P + u\, tr(AD^2P) + u\, b \cdot \nabla P.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}u = 0$, we find

$$\mathcal{L}(uP) = g^i P_i + g^{ij} P_{ij}, \quad g^i \in C^{k-2,\alpha},\ g^{ij} \in C^{k-1,\alpha}.$$

Using the first order in the expansions below ($l.o.t$ = lower order terms),

$$A = I + l.o.t., \quad u = x_n + l.o.t, \quad \nabla u = e_n + l.o.t.,$$

we write each g^i, g^{ij} as a sum of a polynomial of degree $k-1$ and a reminder of order $O(|x|^{k-1+\alpha})$. We find

$$g^i = 2\delta_{in} + l.o.t, \quad g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} x_n + l.o.t.$$

In the case $P = x^m$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(u\, x^m) = {} & m_n(m_n+1)x^{m-\bar{n}} + \sum_{i\neq n} m_i(m_i-1)x^{m-2\bar{i}+\bar{n}} \\ & + c_l^m x^l + w_m(x),\end{aligned}$$

with

$$c_l^m \neq 0 \quad \text{only if } |m| \leq |l| \leq k-1, \qquad \text{and} \quad w_m = O(|x|^{k-1+\alpha}). \tag{3.4}$$

Also in view of (3.3)

$$|c_l^m| \leq C\delta, \quad |w_m| \leq C\delta |x|^{k-1+\alpha}, \quad \|w_m\|_{C^{k-2,\alpha}(B_r)} \leq C\delta r. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus, if $P = a_m x^m$, with $\|P\| \leq 1$ then

$$\mathcal{L}(uP) = R(x) + w(x), \quad R(x) = d_l x^l, \quad \deg R = k-1,$$

with w as above and the coefficients of R satisfying

$$d_l = (l_n+1)(l_n+2)a_{l+\bar{n}} + \sum_{i\neq n}(l_i+1)(l_i+2)a_{l+2\bar{i}-n} + c_l^m a_m. \tag{3.6}$$

Definition 3.3. We say that P is an approximating polynomial for v/u at 0 if the coefficients d_l of $R(x)$ coincide with the coefficients of the Taylor polynomial of order $k-1$ for f at 0.

We think of (3.6) as an equation for $a_{l+\bar{n}}$ in terms of d_l and a linear combination of a_m's with either $|m| < |l|+1$ or when $|m| = l+1$ with $m_n < l_n + 1$. Thus the a_m's are uniquely determined from the system (3.6) once d_l and a_m with $m_n = 0$ are given.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 now follows as in the case $k = 1$, once we establish the next lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that for some $r \le 1$ and an approximating polynomial P for v/u at 0, with $\|P\| \le 1$, we have*

$$\|v - uP\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)} \le r^{k+1+\alpha}.$$

Then, there exists an approximating polynomial $\bar{P}$ for v/u at 0, such that

$$\|v - u\bar{P}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap B_{\rho r})} \le (\rho r)^{k+1+\alpha}$$

for $\rho > 0$ universal, and

$$\|P - \bar{P}\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} \le C r^{k+\alpha},$$

with C universal.

Proof. We write

$$v(x) = u(x)P(x) + r^{k+1+\alpha}\tilde{v}\left(\frac{x}{r}\right), \quad x \in \Omega \cap B_r,$$

with

$$\|\tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1)} \le 1, \quad \tilde{\Omega} := \frac{1}{r}\Omega.$$

Define also,

$$\tilde{u}(x) := \frac{u(rx)}{r}, \quad x \in \tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1.$$

Then

$$f = \mathcal{L}v = \mathcal{L}(uP) + r^{k+\alpha-1}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\tilde{v}\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \quad x \in \Omega \cap B_r$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\tilde{v} := Tr(\tilde{A}\, D^2\tilde{v}) + r\tilde{b} \cdot \nabla\tilde{v} + r^2\tilde{c}\,\tilde{v},$$

$$\tilde{A}(x) = A(rx), \quad \tilde{b}(x) = b(rx), \quad \tilde{c}(x) = c(rx), \quad x \in \tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1.$$

Using that P is approximating, we conclude that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\tilde{v} = \tilde{w} \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1, \quad \tilde{v} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\tilde{\Omega} \cap B_1, \tag{3.7}$$

with

$$\|\tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty} \le 1, \quad \|\tilde{w}\|_{C^{k-2,\alpha}} \le C\delta.$$

By compactness $\tilde{v} \to v_0$ with v_0 harmonic. Thus we find,

$$\|\tilde{v} - x_n Q\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega} \cap B_\rho)} \le C\rho^{k+2} \le \frac{1}{2}\rho^{k+\alpha-1}, \quad \deg Q = k, \qquad \|Q\| \le C,$$

with $x_n Q$ a harmonic polynomial and ρ universal. Thus,

$$\|v - u(P + r^{k+\alpha}Q(\frac{x}{r}))\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap B_{\rho r})} \le \frac{1}{2}(\rho r)^{k+\alpha-1}.$$

However $P + r^{k+\alpha}Q(\frac{x}{r})$ is not approximating for v/u at 0, and we need to modify Q into a slightly different polynomial $\bar{Q}$.

We want the coefficients $\bar{q}_l$ of $\bar{Q}$ to satisfy (see (3.6))

$$0 = (l_n + 1)(l_n + 2)\bar{q}_{l+\bar{n}} + \sum_{i \ne n}(l_i + 1)(l_i + 2)\bar{q}_{l+2\bar{i}-\bar{n}} + \bar{c}_l^m \bar{q}_m, \tag{3.8}$$

with (see (3.4)-(3.5))

$$\bar{c}_l^m = r^{|l|+1-m}c_l^m, \quad |\bar{c}_l^m| \le C\delta.$$

Moreover, since in the flat case i.e. $A = I$, $u = x_n$ and g, b, c, f all vanishing, Q is approximating for v_0/x_n at 0, the coefficients of Q satisfy the system (3.6) with $c_l^m = 0$ and $d_l = 0$, i.e.

$$0 = (l_n + 1)(l_n + 2)q_{l+\bar{n}} + \sum_{i \neq n} (l_i + 1)(l_i + 2)q_{l+2\bar{i}-\bar{n}}.$$

Thus, by subtracting the last two equations, the coefficients of $Q - \bar{Q}$ solve the system (3.8) with left hand side bounded by $C\delta$, and we can find $\bar{Q}$ such that

$$\|Q - \bar{Q}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq C\delta.$$

□

References

[BBB] Bauelos, R., Bass, R.F. and Burdzy, K., *Hölder Domains And The Boundary Harnack Principle*, Duke Math. J., 64, 195–200 (1991).

[C] Caffarelli, L., *The obstacle problem revisited,* Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, Volume 4, Issue 4-5, pp 383–402 (1998).

[CFMS] Caffarelli, L., Fabes, E., Mortola, S., and Salsa, S., *Boundary behavior of non-negative solutions of elliptic operators in divergence form,* Indiana Math. J.,30, 621–640 (1981).

[DS] De Silva, D., Savin, O., C^∞ *regularity of certain thin free boundaries,* arXiv:1402.1098. Submitted (2014).

[F] Ferrari, F., *On boundary behavior of harmonic functions in Hölder domains*, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 1998, Volume 4, Issue 4-5, pp 447–461 (1988).

[HW] Hunt, R.A. and Wheeden, R.L., *On the boundary values of harmonic functions,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,132, 307–322 (1968).

[JK] Jerison, D.S. and Kenig, C.E., *Boundary Behavior of Harmonic Functions in Non-tangentially Accessible Domains,* Adv. Math.,46, 80–147 (1982).

[KNS] Kinderlehrer D., Nirenberg L., Spruck J., *Regularity in elliptic free boundary problems,* J. Analyse Math. 34 (1978), 86–119 (1979).

Department of Mathematics, Barnard College, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

E-mail address: desilva@math.columbia.edu

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

E-mail address: savin@math.columbia.edu